

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/844,199	09/844,199 04/27/2001		Martin Karl Russel Burnham	GM10087-D1	4220	
25308	7590	06/10/2002				
DECHERT ATTN: ALLEN BLOOM, ESQ 4000 BELL ATLANTIC TOWER				EXAMI	EXAMINER	
				SOUAYA, JE	AYA, JEHANNE E	
1717 ARCH STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA		19103		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•			1634	(In	
				DATE MAILED: 06/10/2002	")	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

- A	A and the Attention of	A. B. (1)				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/844,199 BURNHAM, MARTIN KA RUSSEL					
	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Jehanne Souaya pears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a re y within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT , cause the application to become AB/	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) Th	nis action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow						
closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.E). 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-15 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers	. r					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		oo Evaminor				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
	* ' '					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list		received.				
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
a) \square The translation of the foreign language properties \boxtimes Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1634

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 2, 8, 9, 11, and 12, drawn to nucleic acids, vectors, and host cells, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1; class 435, subclass 320.1; and class 435, subclass 325, respectively.
 - II. Claim 1, drawn to a polypeptide, classified in class 530, subclass 350.
 - III. Claim 3, drawn to an antibody, classified in class 530, subclass 387.1.
 - IV. Claim 4, drawn to a method of treatment using gene therapy, classified in class 514, subclass 44.
 - V. Claim 5, drawn to a method of diagnosing a disease using polypeptide based assays, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.
 - VI. Claims 6 and 7, drawn to a method of screening compounds that modulate the function of a polypeptide, classified in class 514, subclass 2.
 - VII. Claim 10, drawn to a method of producing a polypeptide, classified in class 435, subclass 71.1.
 - VIII. Claims 13-15, drawn to a computer readable medium, classified in class 365, subclass 94 and to methods of sequence analysis using the computer readable medium, classified in class 702, subclass 19.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

14,199

Page 3

Art Unit: 1634

The inventions of groups I-III are patentably distinct from each other because they are drawn to different products having different structures and functions. The nucleic acid of group I is composed of deoxyribonucleotides linked by phosphodiester bonds and assumes the form of a double helix. The polypeptide of group II is composed of amino acids linked by peptide bonds and can assume complex tertiary structures. While the antibody of group III is also composed of amino acids linked by peptide bonds, antibodies are glycosylated and their tertiary structure is unique, where four subunits (2 light chains and 2 heavy chains) associate via disulfide bonds into a Y-shaped symmetric dimer. The products of groups I-III can be used in materially different processes, for example the DNA of group can be used in hybridization assays, the antibody of group III can be used in immunoassays, and the polypeptide of group II can be used to make a fusion protein with an enzymatic function. Consequently, the reagents, reaction conditions, and reaction parameters required to make or use each invention are different. Therefore, the inventions of groups I-III are patentably distinct from each other.

3. Inventions I and IV &VII are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the polynucleotides of group I can be used to make probes and primers which is materially different than the method of gene therapy of group IV or the method of producing a polypeptide of group VII.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/844,199

Art Unit: 1634

- 4. Inventions I and V & VI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different functions, different modes of operation and different effects. Further, the methods of groups V and VI do not require the nucleic acid of group I.
- 5. The inventions of groups I and VIII are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because 1) the utility of the computer readable medium does not necessarily depend on the utility of each separate polynucleotide in the data set, and 2) the computer readable medium of Group VIII can be used in a method to identify differential expression of many different genes. The subcombination has separate utility such as the distinct polynucleotides of Group I can be used in recombinant methods to express proteins.
- 6. Inventions II & III are unrelated to the invention of group IV. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different modes of operation, different functions,

Application/Control Number: 09/844,199 Page 5

Art Unit: 1634

and different effects. Further, the polypeptide, antibodies, and computer readable medium are not used in the method of gene therapy of group IV.

- 7. Inventions II & III and V are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the polypeptide of group II can be used to make fusion proteins and the antibody of group III can be used to illicit an immune response, which are materially different than the method of detecting a polypeptide of group V.
- 8. Inventions II & III and VI are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the polypeptide of group II can be used to make fusion proteins and the antibody of group III can be used to illicit an immune response, which are materially different than the method of detecting a modulator of a polypeptide of group VI.
- 9. Inventions II and VII are related as product made and process of making the product. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as

Art Unit: 1634

claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the polypeptide of group II can be made synthetically.

- 10. Inventions II & III are unrelated to the invention of group VIII. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, and different effects.
- 11. Inventions III and VII are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, and different effects.
- 12. Inventions IV-VIII are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects. Further, the methods steps of each invention are not required to practice the methods of any of the other inventions.

Application/Control Number: 09/844,199 Page 7

Art Unit: 1634

13. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 14. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II-VIII, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 15. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 16. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Jehanne Souaya whose telephone number is (703)308-6565. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 9:00 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Jones, can be reached on (703) 308-1152. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-3014.

Page 8

Art Unit: 1634

Any inquiry of a general nature should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Jehanne Souaya

Patent examiner

Art Unit 1634

June 7,2002